



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/681,199	10/09/2003	Juha Kere	0933-0214P	9233
2292	7590 05/23/2005	EXAMINER		INER
BIRCH STE	EWART KOLASCH & BI	GOLDBERG, JEANINE ANNE		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
The Editoren, The 220 to 07 th			1634	
			DATE MAILED: 05/23/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/681,199	KERE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jeanine A. Goldberg	1634			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 March 2004.					
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□	<del>_</del> ··· ·· ··					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
5) 6) 7)	4)					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2) Notion Notion Notion Notion	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB er No(s)/Mail Date					

Art Unit: 1634

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1, 10, 15, 23, 29 drawn to a wild type DYXC1 nucleic acid comprising SEQ ID NO: 1 and nucleic acid comprising polymorphisms, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
  - II. Claims 11-14, 26-27 drawn to methods for the diagnosis of a SNP in DYXC1 gene, classified in class 435, subclass 6. This group is subject to a further restriction to a particular SNP/group SNPs.
  - III. Claims 16-17, 30 drawn to a polypeptide, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
  - IV. Claims 18-21, 24, drawn to an antibody, classified in class 424, subclass130.1
  - V. Claims 31-32, drawn to a method of identifying a compound that modulates expression of activity of DYXC1, classified in class 514, subclass 2, 44, for example.
  - VI. Claim 33, drawn to a method for affinity purification of a substance that binds to DYXC1, classified in class 435, subclass 6.

Claims 22, 25 link(s) inventions of Group I and Group IV.

Art Unit: 1634

Claims 1-9, 28 link(s) inventions of Claim 10, 15, 29. Claims 10 and 15 are drawn to multiple polymorphisms within a nucleic acid. A nucleic acid containing a T at position 4 is distinct from a nucleic acid containing a C at position 4. Each of the polymorphisms are patentably distinct. It is noted that the claim is drawn to at least one polymorphism. Applicant is required to select a single nucleic acid molecule for examination. The molecule may comprise the wild-type of SEQ ID NO: 1 or may contain a polymorphism at more than one position or may contain polymorphic variations at each of the sites. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is **subject to** the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claim 1-9. In the event that Claim 1, for example is deemed allowable over the full scope of the claim, i.e. variants thereof, homologs there of, a complement thereof, each of the nucleic acids would be rejoined. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s)is/ are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C.121 are no longer applicable. In re Ziegler, 44 F.2d 1211,1215,170

USPQ 129,131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Art Unit: 1634

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

A) The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: The inventions of Groups I, III, and IV are patentably distinct because they are drawn to different products having different structures and functions. The nucleic acid of Group Lis composed of nucleotides linked in phospodiester bonds and arranged in space as a double helix. The polypeptide of Group III is composed of amino acids linked in peptide bonds and arranged spatially in a number of different tertiary structures including alpha helices, beta-pleated sheets, and hydrophobic loops (transmembrane domain). The antibody of Group IV is also composed of amino acids linked in peptide bonds and arranged spatially in a very specific tertiary structure that allows that antibody to specifically bind to particular regions, i.e. epitopes, of the encoded polypeptide. Further, antibodies are glycosylated and their tertiary structure is unique, where four subunits (2 light chains and 2 heavy chains) associated via disulfide bonds into a Y-shaped symmetric dimer. Furthermore, the products of Groups I, III, and IV can be used in materially different processes, for example, the DNA of Group I can be used in hybridization assays, the antibody of Group IV can be used in immunoassay, the polypeptide of Group III can be used to make fusion protein with an enzymatic function. Consequently, the reagents, reaction conditions, and reaction parameters required to make or use each invention are different. Therefore, the inventions of Groups I, III, and IV are patentably distinct from each other.

B) Inventions I and (II, V, VI) are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1)

Application/Control Number: 10/681,199

Art Unit: 1634

the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the polynucleotide may be used in materially different methods. It is clear from the claims that the polynucleotide may be used for detection of polymorphisms, diagnosis of diseases, determining compounds which affect the gene, methods of affinity. The polynucleotides may be further used in antisense methods, for example.

Page 5

- C) Inventions (III and IV) and (II, V, VI) are patentably distinct. The methods of Group II, V, VI do not rely up on the antibodies or the polypeptides of Group III and IV.
- D) The inventions of Group II, V and VI are patentably distinct methods because they each have different objectives, different uses, different reagents and different method steps. The method of Group II is for detecting polymorphisms. Alternatively, the method of Group V is for determining compounds which alter DYXC1 activity. And Group IV is for affinity purification using immobilization. Therefore the methods are distinct over one another.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by the different classifications and their divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Further a search of each of these inventions would not be coextensive of a search for each of the other inventions.

Application/Control Number: 10/681,199

Art Unit: 1634

Page 6

Art Unit: 1634

# Restriction Requirement Applicable to All Groups Requiring more than one Patentably Distinct Sequence:

4. Each sequence is patentably distinct because they are unrelated sequences, i.e. these sequences are unrelated because the protein encoded by these sequences differ in structure and in function and in biological activity. Further, even where the nucleic acid changes have no effect on protein structure or function, these sequences themselves represent allelic variations which have different diagnostic and therapeutic implications. A restriction is applied to each Group. For an elected Group drawn to amino acid sequences, the Applicants must further elect a single amino acid sequence. For an elected Group drawn to nucleotide sequences, the Applicants are permitted to elect a single nucleic acid sequences (See MPEP 803.04).

The claims contains individual, independent and distinct nucleotide sequences in alternative form. Accordingly, these claims are subject to restriction under 35 U.S.C. 121 as outlined in 1192 O.G. 68 (November 19, 1996).

Nucleotide sequences encoding different proteins are structurally distinct chemical compounds and are unrelated to one another. These sequences are thus deemed to normally constitute independent and distinct inventions within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 121. Absent evidence to the contrary, each such nucleotide sequences are presumed to represent an independent and distinct invention, subject to a restriction requirement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 121 and 37 CFR 1.141 et seq.

Applicant is required to select one of the individual sequences for examination. The search of the selected sequence may include the complements of the selected sequences and, where appropriate, may include subsequences within the selected sequences (e.g., oligomeric probes and/or primers).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the nucleic acids are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evident or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variant or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other inventions.

## Notice for Rejoinder

5. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

Art Unit: 1634

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 1634

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Jeanine Goldberg whose telephone number is (571) 272-0743. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 7:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Jones, can be reached on (571) 272- 0745.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

The Central Fax Number for official correspondence is (571) 273-8300.

Jeanine Goldberg Primary Examiner May 19, 2005